

Record of Officer's Decision

The Openness of Local Government Bodies Regulations 2014 and the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012

Date of Decision:	2 February 2026
Decision Maker (Officer):	Damian Williams Corporate Director, Operations and Delivery
Authority for Delegated Decision (Cabinet/Committee Decision or Scheme of Delegation – provide reference):	Part 3, Schedule 3 – Responsibility for Executive Functions delegated to Officers paragraph 4.3 (1) – the Corporate Director has delegated authority to discharge executive functions within their respective service areas (Part 3.38). All delegations are subject to consultation where considered appropriate in the circumstances (paragraph 4.3 (4(ii)) – Part 3.39). In accordance with the Scheme of Delegation, the Corporate Director (Operations and Delivery) has delegated authority for the determination of procedural matters associated with ex local authority housing.
Identify which Portfolio Holder(s)/Committee Chairman consulted?	None
Ward Member(s) consulted?	No
Is it a Key Decision?	No
Is it subject to call-in?	No
Decision Made:	To agree to a Deed of Release of restrictive covenant to the conveyance for 8 Coronation Villas, Beaumont cum Moze.
Reason for Decision (if a report was produced to support the Decision, refer to or attach it):	As set out in the supporting report.
Highlight any associated risks/finance/legal/equality considerations:	Budgetary provision has been made to meet the cost of the required Deed of Release of covenant to reflect

	the current position regarding the insurance of these properties.
Details of any Alternative Options Considered and rejected (together with reasons):	For the Council not to release the restrictive covenant. The conveyance needs to be varied to reflect the current position and to prevent future enquiries.
Details of any declarations of interest (by Portfolio Holder/Committee Chairman who was consulted by the officer, which related to the decision) If relevant, a note of the dispensation granted by the Monitoring Officer:	N/A
Reason Decision, or supporting Report, is not published:	Not applicable.
Tick one or more of the specific exemptions,	☐ The report supporting the Decision contains confidential information
<u>and</u>	☐ The Report supporting the Decision falls within an exemption pursuant to Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 Information:
	☒ • Relates to an individual
	☒ • Likely to reveal the identity of an individual
	☐ • Relating to financial or business affairs of a person or organisation
	☐ • Relates to a claim for legal professional privilege in legal proceedings
	☐ • Reveals that the Council proposes to give under any enactment a notice under or by virtue of which requirements are imposed on a person; or to make an order or direction under any enactment
	☐ • Relating to any action taken or to be taken in connection with the prevention, investigation or prosecution of crime
Give more information in the final box with regards to why the exemption applies and outweighs the public interest test (which is in favour of disclosure).	<u>And</u> is exempt if and so long, as in all the circumstances of the case, the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information

	Reasons: N/A
--	--------------

Officers

Signed:  Title: Corporate Director, Operations and Delivery

Dated: 2 February 2026